

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,591	01/09/2002	Earl Vickers	21521-300101	6349	
	7590 04/29/200 N. I. LINDRERG & W.C.	8 DESSNER/CREATIVE LABS	EXAM	MINER	
P.O. BOX 2938			LAO, LUN S		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/043,591 VICKERS ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Formula an	A =4 11=14				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LUN LAO	2615	ļ.,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. D. Etensons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maning date of the communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period: Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing aemed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 F	ebruary 2008.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ER 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio 	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	l Stage			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)	5) Notice of Informal F					

- Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08).
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07-30-2007, 02-04-2008.

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This action is in response to the amendments filed on 02-04-2008. Claims 1, 2,

14, 18 and 20 have been amended. Claims 1-21 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02-04-2008 has been entered.

Specification

3. The amendment filed 02-04-2008 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "wherein the parameters include one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,591 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. . Claim 1 recited " the parameters include one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels". However, the specification does not clearly disclose how the processing of "a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels" will be performed. It was not supported in the specification nor in any claim originary presented.
- 6. Claims 14, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 14 recited "applying the gain comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based

Art Unit: 2615

on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels". However, the specification does not clearly disclose how the processing of "a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels" will be performed. It was not supported in the specification nor in any claim originary presented.

Consider claims 18 and 20 they are essentially similar to claim 14 and are rejected for the reason stated above apropos to claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 and 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders (US PAT. 6,351,733) in view of Smyth et al. (US PAT. 5,956,674).

Regarding Claim 1 as base on 112 first paragraph problem state above, Saunders discloses a method of adjusting the dynamics of an audio track, comprising:

deriving, from the transfer function (reads on VRA function), a time-varying gain to modify the statistical distribution of levels of the audio track (see Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17. line 16 to column 18. line 34; column 23. lines 57-67); and

Art Unit: 2615

applying the time-varying gain to the audio track to obtain a resulting audio track, wherein the transfer function comprises a multi-line transfer function (see Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67); but Saunder does not explicitly teach deriving, at least two parameters of a transfer function from a statistical distribution of levels encountered in the audio track; and wherein the parameters include one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels.

However, Smyth teaches that deriving, at least two parameters of a transfer function from a statistical distribution of levels encountered in the audio track (see fig. 13 and col. 29 line 44-col. 30 line 67); and wherein the parameters include one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels(see col. 23 line 50-col. 24 line 38 and col.42 line 1-col. 43 line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Smyth into Saunders to provide frequency and the multiple audio channels to encode/decode a data stream to generate high fidelity reconstructed audio.

Regarding Claim 2, Saunders discloses the multi-line transfer function comprises a multi-line compressor transfer function (see fig.13-14), wherein the one or more thresholds include one or more compression thresholds (reads on user selected VRA ratio and see col. 24 line 1-6), and Application/Control Number: 10/043,591
Art Unit: 2615

deriving the transfer function comprises: specifying a desired statistical dynamics distribution (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-60); and deriving the parameters (see col. 10 line 2-20) of the transfer function(reads on wave form in each channel) from the metadata and from the desired statistical dynamics distribution (Figs. 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60); such that a final statistical dynamics distribution encountered in the resulting audio track after application of the time-varying gain is substantially similar to the desired statistical dynamics distribution (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67).

Regarding Claim 3, Saunders discloses deriving the time varying gain comprises: specifying a desired overall loudness for the audio track (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-67) deriving an estimate of the loudness of the resulting audio track from the metadata and from an initial estimate of the time-varying gain (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-67); deriving a correction factor from the desired overall loudness and from the estimate of the loudness of the resulting audio track (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-67; column 23, line 48 to column 24, line 6); and applying the correction factor to the initial estimate of the time-varying gain to obtain the time-varying gain (Figs. 1 and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column

Art Unit: 2615

23, lines 57-67; column 22, lines 53-67; column 23, line 48 to column 24, line 6; column 26, line 40 to column 27, line 4).

Regarding Claim 14 as base on 112 first paragraph problem state above, Saunders discloses a method of adjusting the loudness of an audio track including a plurality of audio frames, the method comprising:

obtaining loudness values for each of the plurality of audio frames (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34);

applying a weighting factor (see fig.13 (11340) and see col.22 line 53-col. 23 line 67) to each of the loudness values to obtain a plurality of weighted loudness values (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34);

aggregating the weighted loudness values (see fig.13 (multiplier)) to obtained an overall loudness value for the audio track (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67);

comparing the overall loudness value (see col. 17 line 46-67) to a desired loudness value (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4); and

applying a gain (see fig.13, (1340)) to the audio track based on the comparison between the overall loudness value and the desired loudness value (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4); but Saunders does not explicitly teach that applying the gain comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds

Art Unit: 2615

derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels.

However Smyth teaches that applying the gain comprises compressing with a multiline compression transfer function derived from statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels (see fig. 13 and col. 29 line 44-col. 30 line 67; col. 23 line 50-col. 24 line 38 and col.42 line 1-col. 43 line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Smyth into Saunders to provide frequency and the multiple audio channels to encode/decode a data stream to generate high fidelity reconstructed audio.

Regarding Claim 15, Saunders discloses the weighting factor to be applied to a particular loudness value is derived from the particular loudness value itself (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4).

Regarding Claim 16, Saunders discloses the weighting factor for a particular loudness value comprises an emphasis parameter raised to a power of the particular loudness value (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4).

Regarding Claim 18 as base on 112 first paragraph problem state above, Saunders discloses a method of altering a dynamic range of an audio track comprising a plurality of audio frames each having a loudness value, the method comprising: obtaining

Art Unit: 2615

original statistical frequency data for the audio track (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); applying a test compression scheme to the original statistical frequency data to obtain test statistical frequency data (Figs. 1,2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); deriving from the original statistical frequency data and the test statistical frequency data an actual compression scheme (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); and compressing the audio track using the actual compression scheme (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); but Saunders does not explicitly teach that compressing using the actual compression scheme comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from the statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels.

However Smyth teaches that compressing using the actual compression scheme comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from the statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels(see fig. 13 and col. 29 line 44-col. 30 line 67; col. 23 line 50-col. 24 line 38 and col.42 line 1-col. 43 line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Smyth into Saunders to provide frequency and the multiple audio channels to encode/decode a data stream to generate high fidelity reconstructed audio.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding Claim 19, Saunders discloses obtaining a mean loudness deviation value from the loudness values for the plurality of audio frames; determining a test mean loudness deviation value from the test statistical frequency data; and comparing the mean loudness deviation value and the test mean loudness deviation value with a desired mean loudness deviation value when deriving the actual compression scheme (Figs. 1,2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34).

Regarding Claim 20 as base on 112 first paragraph problem state above. Saunders discloses a method of processing an audio track comprising: obtaining statistical frequency data for the audio track (Figs. 1, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); applying a compression scheme to the statistical frequency data to obtain an estimate of statistical frequency data that would result from applying the compression scheme directly to the audio track (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 8, line 7 to column 9, line 51; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); determining an estimated overall compressed loudness value from the estimate of statistical frequency data (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); compressing the audio track using the compression scheme to obtain a compressed audio track (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); and applying a gain to the compressed audio track based on a comparison between the estimated overall compressed loudness value and a desired loudness value (Figs. 1. 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34); but Saunders does not explicitly teach that compressing using the compression scheme comprises compressing with a multi-line compression transfer function derived from the statistical

Art Unit: 2615

frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels.

However Smyth teaches that compressing using the compression scheme comprises compressing with a multi- line compression transfer function derived from the statistical frequency data including one or more compression thresholds derived based on a fractional measure of a number of frames of the audio track at one or more predetermined levels (see fig. 13 and col. 29 line 44-col. 30 line 67; col. 23 line 50-col. 24 line 38 and col.42 line 1-col. 43 line 60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Smyth into Saunders to provide frequency and the multiple audio channels to encode/decode a data stream to generate high fidelity reconstructed audio.

Regarding Claim 21, Saunders discloses the overall compressed loudness value is obtained by: obtaining a plurality of individual loudness values from the estimate of statistical frequency data; applying a weighting factor to each of the individual loudness values to obtain weighted loudness values; and aggregating the weighted loudness values to obtained the overall compressed loudness value for the audio track (Figs. 1, 2A-2B, 3, 5, and 13-14; column 8, line 7 to column 9, line 51; column 17, line 16 to column 18, line 34; column 23, lines 48-67; column 22, lines 53-60; column 26, line 40 to column 4).

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding Claim 17, Saunders discloses a metadata which is the audio control information, but does not expressly disclose the weighted loudness values of the plurality of audio frames are aggregated using a histogram (column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60). However, the examiner takes Official Notices that it well known in the art to provide metadata comprises histogram associated with the audio control information in order to further enhance the playback features available. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Saunders to have the metadata of Saunders, comprise histogram associated with the audio control information in order to further enhance the playback features available.

 Claim 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders (US PAT. 6,351,733) as modified by Smyth et al. (US PAT. 5,956,674) as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Nakano (US PAT. 5,404,315).

Regarding 4, Saunders as modified by Smyth does not explicitly teach the step of deriving the time varying gain comprises:

deriving, from histogram data of levels encountered in the audio track, an original dynamic spread value representing a spread of the levels encountered in the audio track:

performing a comparison between the original dynamic spread value and a desired dynamic spread value; and deriving a parameter for the transfer function from the comparison.

Application/Control Number: 10/043,591
Art Unit: 2615

However, Nakano teaches that the step of deriving the time varying gain comprises: deriving, from histogram data of levels encountered in the audio track, an original dynamic spread value representing a spread of the levels encountered in the audio track (see col. 7 lines 42-51);

performing a comparison between the original dynamic spread value and a desired dynamic spread value (see col. 10 line 41-59); and deriving a parameter (reads on coefficient) for the transfer function (equation 1) from the comparison (see figs 3-4 and 6 and see col. 10 lines 41-59).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Nakano into Saunders and Smyth to provide better gain control for enhancing the audio output to the user.

Regarding Claim 5, Nakano discloses deriving parameters comprises: determining a slope of a segment of a compressor transfer function (column 6, line 5 to column 7, line 37); and determining a threshold between two segments (a, b, c) of the compressor transfer function (formula 1 and see figs 3-4,6 and col. 6 line 5-col. 7 line37 and discussion above claim 4). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 6, Nakano discloses determining the slope comprises: applying a test compression scheme to the histogram data to obtain test histogram data, the test compression scheme including a test slope (Figs. 1, 7-10; column 7, lines 42-51); determining a test dynamic spread value from the test histogram data (column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60); and deriving the slope based on a comparison of the original

Art Unit: 2615

dynamic spread value, the desired dynamic spread value and the test dynamic spread value (column 6, lines 5-27). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 7, Nakano discloses the slope for the compressor transfer function is determined using interpolation (column 6, line 5-27). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 8, Nakano discloses the slope for the compressor transfer function is determined using iteration (column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 9, Nakano discloses the original dynamic spread value is derived from a mean absolute deviation from a mean loudness value for the audio track (column 4, line 57 to column 5, line 3). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 10, Nakano discloses the original dynamic spread value is derived from a mean absolute deviation from a median loudness value for the audio track (column 4, line 57 to column 5, line 3). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 11, Nakano discloses the parameters include a level of a threshold separating two segments of a compressor transfer function (Figs. 1, 7-10; column 10, lines 41-59). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding Claim 12, Nakano discloses specifying a fraction representing a proportion of the audio track to which compression will be applied (column 6, lines 5-27); deriving from the histogram data a loudness value corresponding to a point above or below which the fraction of the histogram data is located; and using the loudness value as a threshold separating two segments of a compressor transfer function (Figs. 1, 7-10; column 7, line 42 to column 8, line 60; column 10, lines 41-59). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Regarding Claim 13, Nakano discloses deriving a test overall loudness value from the test histogram data; deriving a fixed post-gain value from the test overall loudness value and from a desired loudness value; and applying the time varying gain and the fixed post-gain value to the audio track (Figs. 1, 7-10; column 6, lines 5-27; column 7, line 42 to column 8. Jine 60). Note the discussion of claim 4 for a motivation to combine.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2615

Mail Stop (explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lao, Lun-See whose telephone number is (571) 272-7501. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Vivian Chin. can be reached on (571) 272-7848.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Lao, Lun-See /Lun-See Lao/ Examiner, Art Unit 2615 Patent Examiner US Patent and Trademark Office Knox 571-272-7501 Date 04-18-2008

/Vivian Chin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2615

Art Unit: 2615